ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.

1

```
United States Attorney
 2
    ROBERT E. DUGDALE
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Chief, Criminal Division
    TERRENCE P. MANN (Cal. SBN: 211377)
 4
    Assistant United States Attorney
         1500 United States Courthouse
 5
         312 North Spring Street
         Los Angeles, California 90012
 6
         Telephone: (213) 894-2438
         Facsimile: (213) 894-3713
 7
         Email: Terrence.Mann@usdoj.gov
8
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
9
10
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
                   FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12
                                      CR No. 08-1446-ODW
13
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
14
                  Plaintiff,
                                      AMENDED ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
                                      MOTION TO DISMISS INDICTMENT DUE
15
                                      TO UNLAWFUL DEPORTATION
                  v.
16
    GABRIEL ARELLANO-GAETA,
17
                  Defendant.
18
19
         On October 3, 2012, defendant GABRIEL ARELLANO-GAETA filed a
20
    Motion to Dismiss Indictment Due to Unlawful Deportation (the
2.1
    "Motion"). (CR 22.) Thereafter, the Court reviewed the Motion and
22
    all accompanying materials, including the compact disc that was
23
    lodged concurrently with the Motion. (CR 23.) As set forth in the
24
    October 16, 2012 Order denying the Motion, the Court's review of the
25
    audio record of the hearing before the Immigration Judge ("IJ")
26
    indicated that defendant was aware of his prior conviction for
27
    narcotics sales, was specifically advised of the prior sales
28
    conviction, admitted it, and waived further review. (CR 25.)
```

The Court has now reviewed additional, relevant materials submitted by the government. These materials included: (1) the certified Felony Complaint in Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. VA088889 (the "Complaint"); (2) the certified Minute Order for defendant's entry of a guilty plea and sentencing in Case No. VA088889 (the "Minute Order"); and (3) the certified transcript of those proceedings on May 23, 2005 (the "Transcript").

Based on its consideration of all of the parties' submissions, the Court further finds as follows:

- 1. While a prior conviction for possession of methamphetamine, in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 11377(a), would not have constituted an aggravated felony nor precluded defendant from relief before the IJ, see United States v. Munoz-Camarena, 631 F.3d 1028, 1029 n.2 (9th Cir. 2011) ("Simple possession by itself is not an aggravated felony."), defendant admitted during his January 9, 2007 immigration hearing that he had, in fact, been previously convicted of "possession for sale for cocaine base," which is a violation of California Health & Safety Code § 11351.5. (Motion, Exhibit B, at 3-4.)
- 2. Defendant's admission before the IJ is consistent with the certified documents that have been provided to the Court. See

  United States v. Bustos-Ochoa, -- F.3d --, 2012 WL 6579556 at \*3, 6-7 (9th Cir. Dec. 18, 2012) (affirming district court's denial of analogous motion to dismiss indictment because conviction documents, although not provided to the IJ, "conclusively established that Bustos-Ochoa was an aggravated felon," and "an alien who is statutorily barred from obtaining relief from removal cannot be prejudiced by an IJ's failure to inform him about the possibility of

```
applying for such relief."). Specifically, Count One of the Complaint charged a violation of § 11351.5, and Count Two charged a violation of § 11377(a); and the Minute Order memorialized defendant's guilty plea to Count One and the dismissal of Count Two. (Complaint at 1; Minute Order at 2-3.) There were also multiple references to § 11351.5 during defendant's change of plea colloquy before the Hon. Marcelita V. Haynes, Los Angeles County Superior Court Judge, on May 23, 2005. For example:
```

**THE COURT:** Mr. Arellano, how do you plead to felony complaint VA088889 to the allegation in count 1 that on or about May 7<sup>th</sup>, 2005, in the county of Los Angeles, you violated Health and Safety Code Section 11351.5, possession for sale of cocaine base?

THE DEFENDANT: No contest.

**THE COURT:** Do you understand based on that plea the court is going to find you guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

**THE COURT:** . . . Court accepts the plea, finds - finds the defendant guilty as alleged in count 1.

(Transcript at 7.)

2.0

2.1

- 3. Defendant's prior conviction that served as the basis for his deportation, therefore, was a categorical aggravated felony under 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(43)(B). See United States v. Morales-Perez, 467 F.3d 1219, 1223 (9th Cir. 2006) ("[T]he district court correctly determined that a conviction under section 11351.5 categorically qualifies as a drug trafficking offense under the Sentencing Guidelines."); U.S.S.G. § 2L1.2, Application Note 3(A) ("aggravated felony" has the same meaning given that term in 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(43)).
- 4. Thus, defendant has failed to meet his burden under the third prong of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(d) because he cannot demonstrate

## Case 2:08-cr-01446-ODW Document 37 Filed 02/25/13 Page 4 of 4 Page ID #:139

prejudice stemming from any alleged defects in his underlying removal proceedings. See United States v. Ubaldo-Figueroa, 364 F.3d 1042, 1050 (9th Cir. 2004) (defendant must show "plausible" grounds for relief from deportation in order to establish prejudice); United States v. Ramos, 623 F.3d 672, 684 (9th Cir. 2010) ("If the defendant is barred from receiving relief, his claim is not plausible.") (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). typographical errors found in the Abstract of Judgment and the Notice to Appear do not change this result. (Motion, Exhibits A, C.) Because defendant is a categorical aggravated felon, he is statutorily ineligible for any discretionary relief from deportation at a matter of law. See 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(a) (providing for cancellation of removal for certain lawful permanent residents not convicted of an aggravated felony).

A Wright

Accordingly, the Motion is DENIED.

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19 DATE

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

February 25, 2013 THE HONORABLE OTIS D. WRIGHT II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

/s/

TERRENCE P. MANN

Assistant United States Attorney

Date: February 22, 2013

28